# FROST pseudocode for Coinbase

Daniel Zhou

#### May 2021

This document abstracts out the FROST pseudocode for implementation and research.

# 1 Common Notations

- *G*: EC base point of a group of prime order *q* in which DDH assumption is hard.
- *H*: hash functions mapping to  $\mathbb{Z}_q^*$
- *n*: total number of participants in the protocol.
- *t*: threshold in the protocol.

# 2 Shamir Secret Sharing

We first recall Shamir secret sharing and Feldman Verifiable Secret Sharing that FROST uses and we have implemented them.

```
Algorithm 1 [x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n] \leftarrow ShamirShare(x, t, q, [p_1, \cdots, p_n])Input: x, t, q, [p_1, \cdots, p_n]1. for i \in [1, \cdots, t]2. Sample a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q3. for i \in [1, \cdots, n]4. if p_i = 0, abort5. x_i = x + a_1 p_i + a_2 p_i^2 + \cdots + a_t p_t^t \mod q6. Return [x_1, \cdots, x_n]
```

Algorithm 2  $x \leftarrow \text{Reveal}(q, [p_1, x_1], \cdots, [p_{t+1}, x_{t+1}])$ Input:  $q, [p_1, x_1], \cdots, [p_{t+1}, x_{t+1}]$ 1. Set x = 02. For  $i \in [1, \cdots, t+1]$ 3. Set  $\ell = x_i$ 4. For  $j \in [1, \cdots, t+1]$ 5. If i = j, continue6. Compute  $\ell = \ell \times \frac{p_j}{p_j - p_i} \mod q$ 7. Compute  $x = x + \ell \mod q$ 8. Return x.

# 3 Feldman VSS

Algorithm 3  $[v_0, \dots, v_t], [x_1, \dots, x_n] \leftarrow$  FeldmanShare $(G, x, t, q, [p_1, \dots, p_n])$ 1. Compute  $v_0 = x \cdot G$ 

- 2. For  $i \in [1, \dots, t]$ 3. sample  $a_i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ 4.  $v_i = a_i \cdot G$ 5. For  $i \in [1, \dots, n]$
- 6. If  $p_i = 0$ , abort
- 7.  $x_i = x + a_1 p_i + a_2 p_i^2 + \dots + a_t p_i^t \mod q$
- 8. Return  $[v_0, \dots, v_t], [x_1, \dots, x_n].$

### **Algorithm 4** $0/1 \leftarrow$ FeldmanVerify $(G, q, x_i, p_i, [v_0, \cdots, v_t])$

1. Set  $v = v_0$ 2. For  $j \in [1, \dots, t]$ 3.  $c_j = p_i^j \mod q$ 4.  $v = v + c_j \cdot v_j$ 5. If  $v = x_i \cdot G$ , return 1.

6. Else return 0

## 4 Schnorr Signature

FROST uses Schnorr signature as a proof of knowledge as a subroutine. We describe Schnorr signature here. Schnorr signature is simply the standard Sigma protocol proof of knowledge of the discrete log of verification key, made non-interactive with the Fiat-Shamir transform. In Schnorr signature, the secret key is  $sk = s \in \mathbb{Z}_q$  and verification key  $vk = s \cdot G$ 

**Algorithm 5**  $\sigma \leftarrow \text{SchnorrSign}(sk, m)$ 

- 1. Sample random nonce  $k \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ , compute  $R = k \cdot G$
- 2. Compute challenge c = H(m, R)
- 3. Compute  $z = k + s \cdot c \mod q \in \mathbb{Z}_q$
- 4. Output signature  $\sigma = (z, c)$ .

**Algorithm 6**  $0/1 \leftarrow$  SchnorrVerify $(\sigma, m, vk)$ 

- 1. Parse  $\sigma = (z, c)$
- 2. Compute  $R' = z \cdot G + (-c) \cdot vk$
- 3. Compute c' = H(m, R')
- 4. Output 1 if c = c', otherwise output 0.

## 5 FROST

FROST[1] minimizes the network overhead of producing Schnorr signatures in a threshold setting while allowing for unrestricted parallelism of signing operations and only a threshold number of signing participants. In the original technical report, it describes the protocol with a *signature aggregator* (*SA*) role. Including SA allows for improved efficiency in their description. In particular, with SA, the protocol can either finish in two rounds or in one-round with a preprocessing step However, we prefer a decentralized setting so we focus on an instantiation without a SA. Fortunately, FROST also works without a SA. To do so, each participant simply performs a broadcast in place of SA performing coordination. We adapt and describe the FROST protocol without a SA below. The protocol has a 2-round DKG phase and a 3-round signing phase. The round complexity of signing can be reduced to 2 rounds by preprocessing the first round. That is, each participant pre-compute a fixed number, say *Q*, of commitments for further use so that we don't need to generate commitments every time.

#### 5.1 FROST Key Generation Round 1

Algorithm 7  $(C_i, w_i, c_i, \{x_{i,j}\}_{j \in [n]}) \leftarrow \text{KeyGenRound1}(g, q, G, t, n)$ 

Takes input g, q, G, t, n, each participant  $P_i$  does the following steps.

1. Sample secret  $s = a_{i,0} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  and run Feldman Share

$$(A_{i,0}, \cdots, A_{i,t}), (x_{i,1}, \cdots, x_{i,n}) \leftarrow \text{FeldmanShare}(s)$$

Set  $C_i = (A_{i,0}, \cdots, A_{i,t})$ 

- 2. Sample  $k_i \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ .
- 3. Compute  $R_i = k_i \cdot G$
- 4. Compute  $c_i = H(i, CTX, s \cdot G, R_i)$ , where CTX is a fixed context string.
- 5. Compute  $w_i = k_i + s \cdot c_i \mod q$
- 6. Broadcast  $(C_i, w_i, c_i)$  to other participants
- 7. P2PSend  $(j, x_{i,j})$  to each participant  $P_j$  and keep  $(i, x_{i,i})$  for himself.

#### 5.2 FROST Key Generation Round 2

Algorithm 8  $(vk, sk_i, vk_i) \leftarrow \text{KeyGenRound2}(CTX, (C_j, w_j, c_j)_{j \in [n]}, \{x_{j,i}\}_{j \in [n]})$ 

- 1. Parse  $C_j = (A_{j,0}, \cdots, A_{j,t})$  for each  $j \in [n]$
- 2. For  $j \in [n]$
- 3. if j == i, continue
- 4. Check equation  $c_j = H(j, CTX, A_{j,0}, w_j \cdot G + (-c_j) \cdot A_{j,0})$ , abort if check fails.
- 5. FeldmanVerify $(g, q, x_{j,i}, A_{j,0}, \dots, A_{j,t})$ . Abort if check fails.
- 6. Compute signing key share

$$sk_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_{j,i}$$

and store it locally.

- 7. Compute verification key share  $vk_i = sk_i \cdot G$ .
- 8. Compute verification key  $vk = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j,0}$
- 9. Broadcast  $(vk, vk_i)$  and store  $sk_i$  locally.

#### 5.3 FROST Signing Round 1

**Algorithm 9**  $(d_i, e_i, D_i, E_i) \leftarrow \text{SignRound1}(g, q, G, t, n)$ 

Each participant  $P_i$  does the following

- 1. Sample  $(d_i, e_i) \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$
- 2. Compute  $(D_i, E_i) \leftarrow (d_i \cdot G, e_i \cdot G)$
- 3. Broadcast  $(i, D_i, E_i)$  and store  $(d_i, D_i, e_i, E_i)$  locally.

#### 5.4 FROST Signing Round 2

**Algorithm 10**  $(z_i, vk_i) \leftarrow \text{SignRound2}(\{j, D_j, E_j\}_{j \in [1, \dots, t]}, t, m)$ The signing member  $P_i$  does the following

The signing member *I*<sup>*i*</sup> does the following

- 1. Check message m is valid, abort if check fails.
- 2. Check  $D_j, E_j \in G$  for each j are valid, abort if check fails. Store  $\{D_j, E_j\}_{j \in [t]}$

3. For 
$$j \in [1, \dots, t]$$

4. Compute  $r_j = H(j, m, \{D_j, E_j\}_{j \in [t]})$ 

5. Compute 
$$R_j = D_j + r_j \cdot E_j$$

6. 
$$R = R + R_j$$

- 7. Compute c = H(m, R).
- 8. Store c, R and all  $R_j$
- 9. Compute  $z_i = d_i + e_i \cdot r_i + L_i \cdot sk_i \cdot c$ , where  $L_i$  is Lagrange coefficient

$$L_i = \prod_{j=1,\cdots,t, j \neq i} \frac{j}{j-i}$$

10. Broadcast  $z_i$ ,  $vk_i$  to other participants.

## 5.5 FROST Signing Round 3

Algorithm 11  $\sigma \leftarrow \text{SignRound3}(\{z_j, vk_j\}_{j \in [1, \dots, t]}, t, n)$ Each participant  $P_i$  does the following1. For  $j \in [1, \dots, t]$ 2. Verify equation  $z_j \cdot G = R_j + c \cdot L_j \cdot vk_j$ , abort if check fails.3. Compute  $z = z + z_j$ 4. Self-verify the signature  $\sigma = (z, c)$ :5.  $R' = z \cdot G + (-c) \cdot vk$ 

- $6. \qquad c' = H(m, R')$
- 7. Output 1 if c = c', otherwise output 0.
- 8. Output the signature  $\sigma = (z, c)$  along with message m.

# References

[1] Chelsea Komlo and Ian Goldberg. FROST: Flexible Round-Optimized Schnorr Threshold Signatures. Internet-Draft draft-komlo-frost-00, Internet Engineering Task Force, August 2020. Work in Progress.